

BRECKLAND COUNCIL PROFORMA B
(CAPITAL AND REVENUE BUDGETS)

FROM: Alison Chubbock (Accountancy Manager)

THIS PROFORMA PROVIDES THE FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
IN RESPECT OF THE ATTACHED REPORT

REPORT: Members ICT: Options Appraisal
REPORT DATE: 12 April 2011

	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	Total
	£	£	£	£	£	£
Option 1	Council-provided new laptops					
Capital costs	34,020	-	-	-	-	-
Capital budget	34,020	-	-	-	-	-
(Saving)/Additional cost	-	-	-	-	-	-
Revenue costs	30,676	30,817	32,010	32,970	33,959	
Revenue budget	31,562	31,652	32,732	33,490	34,271	
(Saving)/Additional cost	(886)	(835)	(722)	(520)	(312)	(3,275)
Option 2	Member Allowance – No Support					
Capital costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital budget	34,020	-	-	-	-	-
(Saving)/Additional cost	(34,020)	-	-	-	-	(34,020)
Revenue costs	37,623	3,337	3,437	3,540	3,647	
Revenue budget	31,562	31,652	32,732	33,490	34,271	
(Saving)/Additional cost	6,061	(28,315)	(29,295)	(29,950)	(30,624)	(112,123)
Option 3	Member Allowance – With Support					
Capital costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital budget	34,020	-	-	-	-	-
(Saving)/Additional cost	(34,020)	-	-	-	-	(34,020)
Revenue costs	66,585	32,389	33,629	34,638	35,677	
Revenue budget	31,562	31,652	32,732	33,490	34,271	
(Saving)/Additional cost	35,023	737	897	1,148	1,406	39,211

Considered by: Business Improvement Sub Committee
Date: 12/04/11

Financial Services Comments

Option 1

This option is affordable within the current capital and revenue budgets, generating a small revenue saving each year.

If approval for option 1 is given £34,020 should be released from the ICT Refresh budget to allow purchase of the replacement laptops and printers.

Option 2

This option does not require any capital funding, which would free up £34,020 for alternative capital projects.

A net revenue saving of around £112k is generated over the 5 year period, which could be put towards the Council's current efficiency requirement. However there may be redundancy costs associated with this option which have not been included within the costings.

If approval for option 2 is given, the revenue saving generated should be allocated to the corporate efficiency target.

This PB is valid for 3 months from PB date	If this PB is not longer required please advise Finance	If there are changes to the original report it may invalidate this document, it must be reviewed by Finance.
11/04/2011	Page 1 of 2	D:\moderngov\data\published\Intranet\C00000530\M00002848\AI00013057\shqjfpcky.doc

Option 3

This option is not affordable within the current revenue budgets and therefore should not be approved unless alternative funding is identified.

Other Comments

If option 1 were provided without the support element, a revenue saving of around £29k per year would be generated.

Options 2 and 3 assume part of the allowance is front loaded to enable purchase of equipment, rather than the allowance being spread equally across the five years.

Financial Risk

These are discreet options and therefore it would be difficult to introduce a cost effective support model with a mixture of options.

This PB is valid for 3 months from PB date	If this PB is not longer required please advise Finance	If there are changes to the original report it may invalidate this document, it must be reviewed by Finance.
11/04/2011	Page 2 of 2	D:\moderngov\data\published\Intranet\C00000530\M00002848\AI00013057\\$.hqjfpcky.doc