



## **BRECKLAND COUNCIL**

### **DELEGATED DECISION RECORD**

This records a key or other decision taken by an Executive Member or the Cabinet in accordance with the Council's scheme of Delegations (as set out in Section D of Part 3 of the Council's Constitution).

Unless the Leader and the Chairman of the Overview and Scrutiny Commission certify that the matter is so urgent that the normal five-day scrutiny delay on action should not apply, then this decision will come into force and may then be implemented on the expiry of five working days after the publication of the decision, unless called in under the call-in procedures as set out in Section D of Part 3 of the Council's Constitution.

<b>Portfolio/Cabinet</b>	Cabinet
<b>Report Title (&amp; Reference No.)</b>	Impact of Housing Development and Roads on Stone Curlew - The Way Forward
<b>Summary Background</b>	To set out to members the current position in terms of the research and understanding of the issues relating to the effects of development on Stone Curlew.
<b>Author/Contact Officer</b>	Mark Stokes (Deputy Chief Executive)
<b>Ward(s) Affected</b>	Within the buffer zone of the Breckland Special Protection Area
<b>Urgent?</b>	No
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No
<b>In Forward Plan?</b>	Yes
<b>Date Decision Taken</b>	Tuesday, 30 November 2010
<b>Date Published</b>	Thursday, 2 December 2010
<b>Call-In Expiry</b>	Thursday, 9 December 2010
<b>Exempt Information?</b>	No
<b>Decision (Action Agreed)</b>	That:  1) a further examination of the existing data be commissioned in respect of building classes (Appendix 2, Table 1, option 1 of the report) in respect of the future approach towards development within 1,500m of Breckland Special Protection Area

	<p>(SPA) supporting Stone Curlew; subject to</p> <p>2) a Chief Officer Group being convened from all the affected authorities to discuss whether a clear joint pathway could be found with the aim that all those local authorities that include Special Protection Areas contribute to further Stone Curlew research on a pro-rata basis.</p> <p>The above approach was consistent with the advice and recommendations of both Natural England and the Government Office (East). A broad working split of proportions for discussion would be Breckland 35%, Forest Heath 35%, St Edmundsbury 20% and Kings Lynn 10%.</p>
<b>Reasons(s)</b>	<p>Capita Symonds had concluded that Breckland Council initiated high level discussion with adjoining authorities to jointly fund and commission Table 1 of the report. Should this approach not progress in a timely manner, the Council solely commission and fund option 1 of the report to inform (a) a review of the Core Strategy, and (b) aid the Development Management process.</p>
<b>Options</b>	<p>See report.</p>
<b>Further Information</b>	<p>Stone Curlew 12-10-10</p> <p>Stone Curlew Appendix A Shadwell decision</p> <p>Stone Curlew Appendix B Winchester House decision</p>

