

## Appendix D – Proposals for Rural Settlement Boundaries in Weeting Ward

Settlement	Status - Recommendation	Reasons for recommendation / change
Croxton	Existing settlement boundary – <i>Amend settlement boundary</i>	<p>[CRO.1] Re-draw settlement boundary to exclude Hall Farm House a grade II listed building and adjacent barn - <i>To limit the potential for re-development that would harm the form and character of this part of the settlement.</i></p> <p>[CRO.2] Re-draw the settlement boundary to exclude The Vicarage – <i>The Vicarage currently provides a well screened edge to the settlement and the removal of this part of the boundary will ensure the re-development does not harm the form and character of this part of the settlement.</i></p> <p>[CRO.3] Re-draw the settlement boundary to take in recent development at All Saints Court – <i>To regularise the boundary to reflect the development on the ground.</i></p>
Lynford	No settlement boundary – <i>remain as is</i>	<p>Lynford has a population of 159 (mid-2007 population). No sites have been submitted in Lynford. There is no identifiable settlement in the parish and large parts of the parish are either within the Stanta Training Area or managed by the Forestry Commission.</p> <p>The populated part of Lynford is also located within the 1500m Stone Curlew buffer. Given the sporadic nature of development and the limited opportunity to accommodate further development that would be screened from Stone Curlew populations it is considered that introducing a settlement boundary for Lynford is likely to have an adverse impact on European protected species and as such would make the document unsound.</p> <p>In view of the dispersed nature of development, the lack of facilities and the potential for infill/ redevelopment to cause harm to qualifying features of the Breckland Special Protection Area it is not considered appropriate that a settlement boundary is defined for Lynford.</p>
Weeting	Existing settlement boundary – <i>Amend settlement boundary</i>	[WEE.1] Re-draw the boundary to exclude garden land to the north of properties 1-7 Cromwell Road – <i>To limit the potential for infill or backland development likely to cause</i>

		<p><i>harm to qualifying features of the Breckland Special Protection Area.</i></p> <p>[WEE.2] Re-draw the boundary to exclude tree belt to the rear of 35-42 Angerstein Close – <i>To limit the potential for infill or backland development likely to cause harm to qualifying features of the Breckland Special Protection Area and to protect an important landscape feature.</i></p> <p>[WEE.3] Remove the employment area allocation from Fengate Drove as identified in saved Local Plan policy 'Weeting.1' – <i>To limit the potential for development likely to cause harm to qualifying features of the Breckland Special Protection Area. To reflect the Employment Land Review 2006 findings which recommended the de-allocation of this area. As a consequence development on Fengate Drove will be restricted. To reflect that a significant part of the site is at risk of flooding.</i></p>
--	--	---