

Cell: F7

Comment: May be assessed to include a reasonable allowance for surgery that would improve its SULE, to the extent that might be expected for a tree in that context.

Cell: K9

Comment: Enter SULE in years but remember that over 40yrs becomes very speculative.

Cell: L9

Comment: Scores years recorded.

Cell: J13

Comment: Insert TROBI Champion height for species derived from hyperlinked file.

Cell: K13

Comment: Actual height.

Cell: M13

Comment:

Score will be actual height of the tree as a proportion of the TROBI champion height for the species, to give a relative size within the species; multiplied by the cross sectional area of the crown in a vertical plane to represent the basic visual impact. The crown shape is assumed to be a semi-circle on top of a rectangle where the crown radius = 1/2 the width of rectangle = 0.4 x the overall height of the tree; the height of the rectangle (by subtraction) = 0.6 x total height of tree.

Cell: J14

Comment: Insert TROBI Champion DBH for species derived from the hyperlinked file.

Cell: K14

Comment: Actual DBH

Cell: M14

Comment:

Score will be actual DBH as proportion of TROBI champion DBH for the species, which is an indication of the relative size of the tree within the species; multiplied by the radial cross sectional area of the trunk at 1.3m as a representation of its massivity.

Cell: M17

Comment:

Tree 100% visible from 'A' class road scores $100/2=50$

Cell: M18

Comment:

Tree 100% visible from unclassified road scores $100 \times 0.4 = 40$

Cell: M19

Comment: Tree 100% visible form public right of way scores $100 \times 0.3 = 30$

Cell: M20

Comment: Tree 100% visible from public open space scores $100 \times 0.3 = 30$

Cell: M21

Comment: In the case of a pre-development assessment, it would not be unreasonable to take into account an estimate of the impending number of houses, rather than just the actual number of houses at the time of the survey. Scores $4 \times$ no of houses.

Cell: M22

Comment: Scores $2 \times$ the number of houses.

Cell: M23

Comment: Scores recorded number of houses.

Cell: M24

Comment: Tree might be focal point of the forecourt or car park to a commercial premises, factory or offices.

Cell: K27

Comment: Record yes=1 No=0

Cell: M27

Comment: For example: part of a pine belt in the Brecks.
Positive scores 30.

Cell: M28

Comment: Scores $100/\text{no of trees} +1$ (you can't divide by zero), so no other trees within 50m scores 100, one other tree scores 50 etc.

Cell: K29

Comment: Record on a scale 1-20

Cell: M29

Comment: For example Scots pine or silver birch in the Brecks, pollard oak on former farm hedgeline, willow on flood plain, large parkland tree or a cedar of Lebanon adjacent to a Victorian Rectory.
Scores 1-20

Cell: K32

Comment: Record Yes=1, No=0

Cell: M32

Comment: Scores 100 for UK BAP Priority Habitat: Lowland Wood Pasture and Parkland, Wet Woodland

Scores 80 for Norfolk BAP Priority Habitat: Traditional Orchards, Native Black Poplar, Lowland Mixed Deciduous Woodland, Ancient Species Rich Hedgerows

Cell: K33

Comment: Record Yes=1, No=0

Cell: M33

Comment: Barn owl, Honey buzzard, Goshawk, Hobby, Red kite, Golden oriole, Crested tit. Presence scores 100.

Cell: D34

Comment: BATS! BATS! BATS! All BATS
Very few Great crested newts in trees! However, there are several invertebrates which need specialist identification.

Cell: K34

Comment: Record Yes=1, No=0

Cell: M34

Comment: Make TPO forthwith.

Cell: K35

Comment: Record Yes=1, No=0

Cell: M35

Comment: Must have identifiable sites that appear suitable as a roost. Scores 40 if positive.

Cell: K36

Comment: Record on a scale of 1-10.

Cell: M36

Comment: Cavities and decaying wood offer a wide range of micro-habitats for fungi and saproxylic invertebrates.
Scores <30

Cell: K37

Comment: Record Yes=1, No=0

Cell: M37

Comment: Conifers:
Scots pine, Common juniper and Yew

Broadleaves:

Silver and Downy birches, Bay willow, Aspen, Wych elm, Sallow, Alder, Bird cherry, Rowan, Hazel, Holly, Sessile oak, Small leaved lime, Common oak, Crack and White willows, Wild cherry, Black poplar, Whitebeam, Hawthorn and Midland thorn, Wild service tree, Crab apple, Beech, Field maple, Hornbeam, Broad-leaved lime and Box.

Scores 30

Cell: K40

Comment: Record on a scale of 1-20

Cell: M40

Comment: For example: feature of a local tradition such as annual fete; play tree enjoyed by generations; association with an historic event (No King Charles oaks please).
Scores 1-20 according to gravity of heritage.

Cell: K41

Comment: Record on a scale of 1-15 according to perceived importance of commemoration.

Cell: M41

Comment: Scores <15

Cell: K42

Comment: Record Yes=1, No=0

Cell: M42

Comment: Scores 30 if positive.

Cell: K44

Comment: Record on scale 1-10

Cell: M44

Comment: This is a very important category and as it is a multiplier to all the others; it has a decisive role in the assessment.

Take into account positive issues such as compliment to architecture or intangible sense of place; negative issues might be shading, leaf fall, likely public perceptions of safety and potential changes in land use in the foreseeable future.

Cell: K46

Comment: Although the model needs continual reappraisal, preliminary testing suggests that trees achieving a score of less than 250 are probably not suitable for a TPO while those scoring above 250 have a demonstrable case.