| | | 2014/15 | | | | | | | | APPENDIX H |
|---|---------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| SCHEMES | 2013/14 Forecast | revised (inc carry over from 13/14) | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | Total budget 2013/14 - 2018/19 | Total Funding 2013/14 - 2018/19 | Net Breckland contribution | Project information |
| HOUSING - Duncan Hall | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disability Adaptations (DFG's/Re-Able) | 673,000 | 603,800 | 603,800 | 603,800 | 603,800 | 603,800 | 3,692,000 | (2,422,800) | 1,269,200 | Currently, Breckland funds 40% of the grant amount offered. The grants are mandatory and the Council has six months to determine an application and a further period to deliver. If not delivered and there is a backlog of applications, the Council is open to legal challenge. The number of cases is not diminishing and there has been no change in complexity. However, efficiencies are now being achieved through better procurement and reduced admin which means that more grants can be processed without increasing funding proportionately. Therefore from 2014/15, the proposal is that Breckland's contribution will be reduced and applied after the application of the CLG grant. This will be proposed as part of the capital budget setting process. The CLG grant is not guaranteed so it will be necessary to review grant levels annually and an assumed level will be included in the budget moving forward subject to approval. In addition to the legal risks, the demographics of Breckland are such that there are more elderly people, living longer and Breckland is a net importer of elderly people creating more demand. |
| Decent Homes Grants | 180,000 | 224,079 | 81,338 | | | | 485,417 | (485,417) | - | This is residual un-ringfenced grant funding and is a finite pot. No further funding is on the horizon. The funding is used as part of the Council's RESTORE initiative to bring empty homes back into use. The Governments "Green Deal" funding has wider eligibility which has reduced the need to use this money on Insulation and Heating and the focus is now for bringing empty homes back into use. This budget also allows for small Enhance grants for minor repair works. |
| Affordable Housing - Old Goods Shed, Thetford | 149,000 | | | | | | 149,000 | (149,000) | - | The scheme is designed to deliver 10 new affordable dwellings and is led by Havebury Housing Association. The spend is fully funded from S106 receipt under planning reference 3PL/2008/1548/F |
| Funding not yet released | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Affordable Housing | 750,000 | 250,000 | | | | | 1,000,000 | - | 1,000,000 | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | |
| ASSETS - Steve Udberg | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Commercial property Acquisition | 1,249,087 | - | | | | | 1,249,087 | - | 1,249,087 | |
| Victory Park, Attleborough | - | - | | | | | - | - | - | |
| Asset Management Plan | 230,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | | 1,150,000 | - | 1,150,000 | 2.3m annual backlog maintenance has been identified and this is an annual sum to address the backlog to maintain condition of CP units and secure rental income. This figure may reduce as more detailed work is done on condition surveys. The LABV arrangement does not contribute to reducing this budget in the early years. and it is not certain what impact it may have in the future. The figure may reduce as more detailed surveys are undertaken but at this stage the information available suggests this figure should remain in the budget. |
| Transfer of Play Areas - Thetford | 174,270 | - | | | | | 174,270 | - | 174,270 | Offer been made to TTC on their costed wish list of improvements, awaiting feedback from TTC on the offer. No solicitors have been instructed yet. The risk of not proceeding is that the Council has completed transfer of play areas in 3 other areas and in principle this transfer is agreed, subject to the detail being sorted. Discussions continue with Thetford Town Council re the offer made and a meeting will take place in October. |
| Transfer of play Areas Dereham | 121,700 | - | | | | | 121,700 | - | 121,700 | Solicitors have been instructed. Legal transfer is being prepared. The risk of not proceeding is that the Council may be liable for other parties fees. |
| Street Lighting | 789,057 | 200,000 | | | | | 989,057 | - | 989,057 | Options paper went to Executive in June including an assessment of risks for each option (H & S, Liabilities, Communications/public relations etc). Meetings have taken place with Town Councils in September to discuss options. Further meetings with Town Council's on 30th October to discuss further. |
| Scarning Water Meadows bridge | 17,820 | - | | | | | 17,820 | - | 17,820 | Watermeadows, Dereham |
| PCT car Park Extension | 20,000 | - | | | | | 20,000 | (20,000) | - | This was originally the cost for release of the PFI land but Thetford Town Council are now leading the project. The budget is still earmarked for the project pending a decision on exactly how the skate park will be delivered. |
| Three legged bridge Thetford Riverside | 23,000 | - | - | - | - | | 23,000 | - | 23,000 | Funding released. Tenders received and over budget therefore specification is being reviewed and retendered. Anticipated that a virement will be requested from car park |

| Demolition Anchor Hotel | 69,463 | - | - | - | - | 69,463 | - | 69,463 | If Riverside project proceeds, it may not be necessary to spend this final amount as PP was awarded on basis of either demolition/landscaping or the development proceeding. At this time there have been delays because during excavation it was necessary to liaise with English Heritage on slab removal which has caused delays. Final spend is dependent on how Riverside project proceeds. |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Trafalgar Wood and Riverside Area transfer of commuted sum | 194,579 | | | | | 194,579 | (194,579) | - | Transfer of land at nil consideration plus transfer of commuted sums in respect of the land |
| Funding not released yet | | | | | | - | | | |
| Adoption of roads | 25,000 | 479,000 | | | | 504,000 | | 504,000 | Funding not yet released. Adoption of 3 x private commercial estate roads. The roads will need works to bring these assets up to adoptable standard for Highways Authority. Identified roads are: John Goshawk Road, Dereham Turbine Way/Brocks Road, Swaffham Tower Meadows, Swaffham This project will avoid future on-going costs for future maintenance and replacement and there will be savings on the future inspection regime. The project incorporates all tender consultancy costs to survey, bring up to standard, manage and tender works, adoption of NCC negotiation (50% paid on contract mgmt and 50% payment on successful adoption). This is required due to lack of capacity in the Asset Management service. Executive requested a separate report with more information but a report to release funding is due around Q2 and this will give more detail on why funding is required. |
| Car park resurfacing | 136,000 | 136,000 | 136,000 | 136,000 | 136,000 | 680,000 | - | 680,000 | Provision to allow the Council to continue it's five yr programme of car park improvements to avoid increasing R & M costs in revenue budget - ie avoid increasing revenue costs in future |
| Riverside regeneration | - | 6,448,049 | - | - | - | 6,448,049 | - | 6,448,049 | Residual planning issues still being resolved and delivery route for project still under discussion. Project now included within LABV |
| Redcastle mound Path | | 50,000 | | | | 50,000 | | 50,000 | It is expected that there will be a statutory obligation on the Council to protect this ancient monument. The Council owns the freehold and the mound is experiencing excessive wear. The Council may have a statutory obligation to repair |
| Humbletoft adoption | | 100,000 | | | | 100,000 | | 100,000 | Council has an obligation to adopt open space known as Humbletoft but the S106 does not include a sum for future maintenance. Proposal is to transfer land to DerehamTown Council with £100,000. Possible this could be funded from general Commuted sum of 165k that has no detail behind it - risk that this could be required in future but risk not considered significant. |
| | | | | | | - | | | |
| ICT - Kevin Rump | | | | | | - | | | |
| Customer Services telephony - Dereham | 27,420 | - | | | | 27,420 | - | 27,420 | 2013-14 Balance of funding is to extend Mittel gateway to presence offices to allow extension of contact centre. Increase resilience around telephony. Risk of not proceeding is can't maximise staff capacity and could increase risks associated with Disaster Recovery. In addition, the Customer Services pre Assessment will indicate where any remaining money should be focussed. The remaining LAGAN budget of £7,255 which is also customer focussed has been vired to this project. |
| LAGAN upgrade | - | - | | | | - | - | - | |
| Disaster Recovery | 27,182 | - | | | | 27,182 | - | 27,182 | 2013-14 Due to shared service arrangement the existing project between Dereham and Thetford is being reviewed with NCC and it still anticipated we need it for DR. Risk of not proceeding is that we rely on tapes - this is identified on ICT risk log and could impact on business continuity. There is an internal audit on Disaster Recovery in November 2013 which could highlight additional areas of focus. |

| 151,000 172,483 190,000 474,485 - 46 | SCHEMES | 2013/14 Forecast | 2014/15 revised (inc carry over from 13/14) | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | Total budget 2013/14 - 2018/19 | Total Funding 2013/14 - 2018/19 | Net Breckland contribution | Project information |
|--|--|---------------------|--|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 151,000 173,463 100,000 424,453 - 424,453 - 424,455 | Total Commissioning | 5,560,413 | | 1,151,138 | 969,800 | 969,800 | 603,800 | 18,149,362 | (3,410,532) | 14,738,830 | |
| Final Augustion 1-15 100,000 173,453 | | 33,000 | - | | | | | 33,000 | - | · | we don't do it are: (1) The current version of Integra will become un-supported in the future (2) Managers can only access financial information when they are on site at Breckland & will not have access from anywhere else (i.e. Sth Holland) (3) Will continue to need storage space in future years for the invoices & accounting records. Order has |
| 151.000 173.443 100.000 424.463 424. | Waveney and Suffolk ICT | 9,109 | - | | | | | 9,109 | (9,109) | - | |
| 151,000 173,483 150,000 424,433 | FINANCE - MARK FINCH | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Public regarded devoting on the notationed for lines all accounted in Conference of the lines and incompanied in the lines and incompanied in lines and incompanied in Agriculture of the process of the lines and incompanied in Agriculture of the lines and the lines and incompanied in Agriculture of the lines and incompanied in | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| 151,000 173,403 100,000 424,453 - 424,453 - 424,453 100,000 424,453 100,000 424,453 - 424,453 100,000 424,453 | S106 payments out | 22,060 | | | | | | 22,060 | (22,060) | - | |
| Finds required depoching on the electronic of the final assessment in September 2013, when we want to be a common of the final assessment in September 2013, when we have common of the final assessment in September 2013, when we have common of the final assessment in September 2013, when we have common of the final assessment in September 2013, which is been one expendent of the september 2013, which is been one expendent on the september 2013, which is been one expendent on the september 2013, which is been one expendent on the september 2013, which is been one expendent on the september 2013, which is been one expendent on the september 2013, which is been one of the september 2013, which is been considered as the september 2013, which is been ast | Air quality/noise monitoring equipment | 26,484 | - | | | | | 26,484 | - | 26,484 | Project complete |
| 151,000 173,483 100,000 173,483 173, | Environmental Health review | 309,912 | - | | | | | 309,912 | (107,567) | | Costs associated with EH review. Staff restructure has been carried out, the IT now needs to follow to support the new structure. This capital spend is for hardware infrastructure work to both desktop, systems and software improvements, IT tender has been received and orders will be placed asap, some delay in IT infrastructure due to Cocco compliance issues which are being addressed, this project is a shared one with SHDC and the funding is split 67/33 (BDC/SHDC). The risk of not proceeding is that software system can't be purchased which will stop the customer interaction being implemented and the service efficiency that comes from that, in addition the staff structure has been built around the |
| Funds required depending on the outcome of the intellal assessment in September 2013. When the property of the | Planning and Building Control | 8,723 | - | | | | | 8,723 | - | 8,723 | both hardware and software improvements., Work slightly behind schedule due to IT roll out. Anticipated completion 2nd quarter. This is funds supplied by Capita as part of their |
| Funds required depending on the outcome of the initial sessesment in September 2013 (Piles is taken to tours) and disconnection (CGCSDPPP)—Shared fallure b Improve experience for shared managers). 151,000 173,483 100,000 | PLANNING AND HEALTH - Phil Adams | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Funds required depending on the automor of the initial sessement in September 2013 (Risk is failure to comply and disconnection of GCSSUMP). Shared Management - 16th, Project to leither shared management (CI improvement Risk is a territorial solid series of the state of the sta | BDC and NOC ICT Stated SetVices | 143,547 | - | | | | | - | - | | £112,087. The balance of £31,460 is to remain in the budget because NCC are scoping a proposal for further virtualisation work. It is still anticipated that funding will be spent in |
| Funds required depending on the outcome of the initial assessment in September 2013 (Risk is failure to orapity and disconnernent Clif miproverents (risk is failure to comply and disconnernent Clif miproverents (risk is failure to improve experience for shared managers). 151,000 173,483 100,000 424,483 424,483 424,483 100,000 | DDC and NCC ICT shared angles | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Funds required depending on the outcome of the initial assessment in September 2013 (Risk is failure to comply and disconnection of GCSx/DWP). Shared Management = 16k; Project to deliver shared management ICT improvements (risk is failure to improve experience for shared managers). Staff refresh = 50k; Project to address Microsoft XP being de-supported in April 2014 (risk is ur supported desktop hardware and exposure of the staff of the supported desktop hardware and exposure of the supported desktops and the supported desktop hardware and exposure of the supported desktop hardware and exposure of the supported desktops. Wireless technology = 20k; Project to improve ements at Eliz House (risk is failure to embrace new technology around mobility because of poor building wireless connectivity). Citrix = 15k; Project to improve the Citrix infrastructure at Breckland/NCC (risk is continual forms of the supported desktops in the supported desktops in the supported desktops and the supported desktops. Wireless technology around mobility because of poor building wireless connectivity). Citrix = 15k; Project to improve the Citrix infrastructure at Breckland/NCC (risk is continual forms of the supported in April 2014 (risk is unsupported desktops.) | | | | | | | | - | | | Members ICT = 50k; ICT roll out for next election (risk - embracing change through paperless working for members). Staff refresh = 50k; Continuation of staff refresh budget (risk is as above including budget to cover and needs to extending Microsoft XP cover post April 2014 for those machines not upgraded in time) Total = 171k |
| Funds required depending on the ocurome of the initial assessment in September 2013 (Risk of Shared Management = 16k, Project to deliver shared management ICT improvements (risk is failure to improve experience for shared managems). Staff refresh = 50k, Project does shared managers). Staff refresh = 50k, Project does shared managers). Wireless technology = 20k; Project to implement wireless improvements at Eliz House (risk is failure to improve experience for shared managers). The supported desktop hardware and exposure to security risks through unpatched desktops. Wireless technology = 20k; Project to implement wireless improvements at Eliz House (risk is failure to managers). The supported desktop hardware and exposure to security risks through unpatched desktops. Wireless technology = 20k; Project to implement wireless improvements at Eliz House (risk is failure to managers). The supported desktops is the staffiling the supported desktops. Wireless technology around mobility because of poor building wireless connectivity). | | | | | | | | | | | 2014/2015 - Telephony and networks = 70k: SIP trunking and improvements in |
| ULL Strategy (retresh 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | or oracegy nelicesi | 151,000 | 173,483 | 100,000 | | | | 424,483 | - | | Funds required depending on the outcome of the initial assessment in September 2013 (Risk is failure to comply and disconnection of GCSx/DWP). Shared Management = 16k; Project to deliver shared management ICT improvements (risk is failure to improve experience for shared managers). Staff refresh = 50k; Project to address Microsoft XP being de-supported in April 2014 (risk is unsupported desktop hardware and exposure to security risks through unpatched desktops. Wireless technology = 20k; Project to implement wireless improvements at Eliz House (risk is failure to embrace new technology around mobility because of poor building |

| COMMUNITIES - Riana Rudland | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Match funding - Eccles garnier Hall | 2,369 | - | | | | | 2,369 | - | 2,369 | Projects approved, offer letters issued, awaiting claims |
| Match Funding - Bawdeswell Village Hall | 7,936 | - | | | | | 7,936 | - | 7,936 | Projects approved, offer letters issued, awaiting claims |
| Match Funding - East Harling Recreation Facilities | 1,112 | - | | | | | 1,112 | - | 1,112 | Projects approved, offer letters issued, awaiting claims |
| Match Funding - Westacre Theatre | 20,000 | - | | | | | 20,000 | - | 20,000 | Projects approved, offer letters issued, awaiting claims |
| Match Funding - Shipdham Church | 20,000 | - | | | | | 20,000 | - | 20,000 | Projects approved, offer letters issued, awaiting claims |
| Match Funding - East Harling Recreation Club | 12,892 | | | | | | 12,892 | | 12,892 | Projects approved, offer letters issued, awaiting claims |
| Funding not released yet | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Match funding - unallocated budget | 253,920 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | | 653,920 | - | 653,920 | The 13/14 Forecast spend is the unallocated sum built up from previous year underspends. A further 100k per annum is included in the budget. There is no schedule of projects against this unallocated sum. There is also 1.6m revenue funding allocated for match funding projects which could be used to finance capital match funding projects as well. |
| Joint use Agreement | 60,000 | - | | | | | 60,000 | - | 60,000 | Members have a choice whether to contribute to the roof replacement as per the Council's constitution. The contract does not make it clear if Breckland are contractually bound to pay towards capital improvements. The risks of not doing so are that the leisure centre may fall into disrepair and become unfit for use, this may also affect the residual value of the building of which Breckland are entitled to receive a percentage share of at the end of the agreement. We could also end up at arbitration with our partner should we choose not to contribute as they believe the council is liable for some of these costs. there is a risk that costs could increase in respect of both dual use centres - risk not yet quantified |
| Total Place and Governance | 378,229 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | 778,229 | - | 778,229 | |
| Total Capital Programme Costs | 5,938,642 | 8,994,411 | 1,251,138 | 1,069,800 | 1,069,800 | 603,800 | 18,927,591 | (3,410,532) | 15,517,059 | |

NB: There are projects included within the capital programme which are intended to be included in the LABV project (Full Council 3rd October 2013). Once we get further clarity on LABV over time, these projects could be removed from the capital programme.

| Specific Funding | 2013/14 Forecast | 2014/15 revised (inc carry over from 13/14) | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | Total budget 2013/14 - 2018/19 |
|---|---------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| Disabled Facilities Grants | 403,800 | 403,800 | 403,800 | 403,800 | 403,800 | 403,800 | 2,422,800 |
| Decent Homes/Enhancement Loans and grants | 180,000 | 224,079 | 81,338 | - | - | | 485,417 |
| Decent Homes/Enhancement Loans and grants | 149,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 149,000 |
| Skate Park | 20,000 | - | 1 | - | - | | 20,000 |
| Trafalgar Wood & Riverside Area | 194,579 | - | - | - | - | - | 194,579 |
| SHDC cont'n to E Health review | 107,567 | - | - | - | - | - | 107,567 |
| S106 Payments | 22,060 | - | • | - | - | | 22,060 |
| ARP Waveney and Suffolk | 9,109 | - | • | - | - | | 9,109 |
| Total | 1,086,115 | 627,879 | 485,138 | 403,800 | 403,800 | 403,800 | 3,410,532 |

| Forecast capital receipts | 2013/14 Forecast | 2014/15 revised (inc carry over from 13/14) | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | Total |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | | | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| | | | | | | | |
| Castle Acre | 745,000 | | | | | | 745,000 |
| Castle Acre | 1,050,000 | 250,000 | | | | | 1,300,000 |
| Easement Linmore Court | 60,000 | | | | | | 60,000 |
| Land Sale PCT | 25,000 | | | | | | 25,000 |
| Redcastle Furze Community Centre | 60,000 | | | | | | 60,000 |
| Kenninghall Land sale | 40,000 | | | | | | 40,000 |
| RTB | | | | | | | - |
| Total | 1,980,000 | 250,000 | = | - | - | - | 2,230,000 |

| | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Opening CFR | (2,227) | 646 | 8,762 | 9,528 | 10,194 | 10,860 |
| Spend in year | 5,939 | 8,994 | 1,251 | 1,070 | 1,070 | 604 |
| Receipts & funding in year | (3,066) | (878) | (485) | (404) | (404) | (404) |
| PFI Liability | (9,492) | (9,315) | (9,129) | (8,933) | (8,726) | (8,507) |
| PFI repayment | 177 | 186 | 196 | 207 | 218 | 230 |
| CFR - Underlying Borrowing requirement | (8,669) | (367) | 595 | 1,468 | 2,352 | 2,783 |

The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) is a measure of the Council's underlying need to borrow. Whilst this remains a negative figure there is no borrowing requirement. However once this figure becomes positive borrowing will be required