

BRECKLAND COUNCIL

At a Meeting of the

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMISSION

**Held on Thursday, 4 February 2010 at 2.15 pm in the
Gallery Bar, Watton Sports Centre, Dereham Road, Watton**

PRESENT

Mr J.P. Cowen (Chairman)	Mrs D.K.R. Irving
Mr S.G. Bambridge	Mr A.P. Joel
Mr A.J. Byrne	Mr R.G. Kybird
Mr S.H. Chapman-Allen	Mr K. Martin
Mr K.S. Gilbert	Mr J.D. Rogers
Mr R.F. Goreham (Vice-Chairman)	Mr B. Rose
Mr J.R. Gretton	Mr A.C. Stasiak

Also Present

Mr S. Askew	Mr J.W. Nunn
Mr P.D. Claussen	Mrs L.S. Turner

Breckland Youth Council

Stuart Green	Sean Ward
--------------	-----------

In Attendance

Sue Bloomfield	- Planning Obligations Officer (Capita Symonds for Breckland Council)
Mark Broughton	- Scrutiny Officer
Caroline Cox	- Community Development Officer
Phil Daines	- Development Services Manager (Capita Symonds for Breckland Council)
Mark Finch	- Head of Finance
Stephen McGrath	- Member Services Manager
Maxine O'Mahony	- Director of Organisational Development
Lucy Powles	- Human Resources Manager
Tracey Reynolds	- Youth Engagement Officer
Mark Stokes	- Deputy Chief Executive
Robert Walker	- Director of Community Services
Elaine Wilkes	- Senior Committee Officer

1/10 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 17 December 2009 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

2/10 APOLOGIES

An apology for absence was received from Mrs. S.M. Matthews.

3/10 URGENT BUSINESS (AGENDA ITEM 3)

The Chairman announced one item of urgent business as follows:

Shared Services – Joint Scrutiny Group

The Scrutiny Officer explained that, as previously notified, discussions

Action By

Action By

had been in progress to establish a joint scrutiny group to look at the proposed shared service arrangements with South Norfolk District Council.

The proposed joint scrutiny group would comprise five non-Executive Members from each Authority. Nominations had been sought from Breckland Members and the following Members had expressed their interest in being appointed to the joint group – Mrs. D. Irving, Mr. J.D. Rogers, Mr. J.P. Labouchere, Mr. S.G. Bambridge and Mr. J.P. Cowen.

Substitutes were also sought and Mr. R.G. Kybird offered his nomination.

The Chairman advised that meetings of the joint group would meet in rotation at Attleborough and Wymondham as most convenient locations for members.

RESOLVED that a joint scrutiny group on shared services be established comprising five members of each Authority, plus substitutes, and the following Members be appointed as the Breckland representatives on the group:

Mrs. D. Irving
Mr. J.D. Rogers
Mr. J.P. Labouchere
Mr. S.G. Bambridge
Mr. J.P. Cowen
Substitute: Mr. R.G. Kybird

4/10 DECLARATION OF INTEREST (AGENDA ITEM 4)

The following declarations were made in relation to agenda item 9 (Concessionary Fares Scheme Update):

- Mr. K.S. Gilbert – personal interest as a bus pass holder
- Mr. A.P. Joel – personal interest as a bus pass holder

5/10 NON-MEMBERS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE MEETING (AGENDA ITEM 5)

- Mr. J.W. Nunn – Leader of the Council
- Mr. P.D. Claussen – Executive Member (Planning, Health & Housing)
- Mrs. L. Turner – Executive Support Member (Communities & Benefits)
- Mr. S. Askew – Executive Member (Communications & Corporate Services)
- Stuart Green – Breckland Youth Councillor
- Sean Ward – Chairman, Breckland Youth Council

6/10 BRECKLAND YOUTH COUNCIL (AGENDA ITEM 6)

The Community Development Officer presented the report and briefly outlined the background to the establishment of the Breckland Youth Council and its progress to date.

Action By

More details were given in a presentation by Youth Councillors Stuart Green and Sean Ward (Chairman of the Youth Council). A copy of the presentation handouts is attached to these minutes for Members' information.

Following the presentation, the Chairman congratulated the Youth Councillors on their Council's excellent handling of the £10,000 Participatory Budget Funding. The feedback on their work on that project had been most complimentary.

Members of the Commission agreed that it was a commendable achievement and hoped that it would serve to highlight the good work that was being achieved by the young people.

It was noted that Stuart Green was to stand as a candidate for the Mid-Norfolk seat of the Youth Parliament. Mr. Joel suggested a pre-election event could be of interest to schools and the Community Development Officer confirmed that a hustings event was being planned to take place in April preceding the elections. Invitations to participate could be extended to interested schools.

The Chairman asked how the rural areas of the District were represented on the Youth Council. Sean Ward explained that Youth Councillors were drawn from the schools in the five main towns of the District which served both the town and the rural areas. In this way, there was an assurance that the rural areas were represented.

Mrs. Irving, as Breckland Council's Young People's Champion, expressed her pride in and thanks for all the good work being done and the achievements being made by the Youth Council.

It was noted that the next elections of the Breckland Youth Council were scheduled to be held in February 2011.

The Chairman thanked Stuart and Sean for attending and for their interesting and informative presentation.

7/10 EXECUTIVE MEMBER PORTFOLIO UPDATE (AGENDA ITEM 7)

Mr. Nunn spoke to the Commission in his capacity as Leader of the Council. He outlined his role in relation to the Council's Mission Statement as set out in its Business Plan and Community Strategy, which were the aims to which the Council worked towards, both as individual members and collectively.

There was a need to ensure the Council's plans were both deliverable and delivered and they needed to be kept refreshed.

There was a commitment to deliver cost effective, quality services. It had been possible to maintain the Council's low Council Tax rate and performance on National Indicators was typically achieving rates 10% higher than other authorities around the country. However, there was no room for complacency and performance was kept under close review.

Action By

Mr. Nunn stated his opposition to the proposed reorganisation of local government in Norfolk, which he believed would remove democratic accountability. However, he remained determined to drive down the cost of service provision and the shared services project with South Norfolk District Council was aimed towards achieving this.

Key projects and achievements deriving from the Council's Business Plan and Community Strategy included:

- Thetford Growth Point – the Council's key project to deliver significant housing, education and employment growth for the town. The recent Moving Thetford Forward Open Days event had been attended by some 900 visitors to see how the project was progressing and the plans for the future. Future focus would also be on the growth plans for the Attleborough area.
- Thetford Enterprise Park (TEP) – a major joint venture economic development project, involving Council investment of £3 million.
- Snetterton Energy Supply Initiative – an economic development team project to secure expansion of the energy supply to the Snetterton area to meet demand for future development of this key employment area.
- RevActive – an innovative green agenda economic development project which had secured European funding, designed to help businesses increase efficiency and reduce their carbon footprint.
- Breckland Youth Council – Participatory Budget, enabling local projects through grant funding
- Consultation on Council Tax – no increase this year, with an aspiration for no rise over the next two years.
- Local Strategic Partnership (LSP) – working with our partners, secured funding for 16 new jobs helping young people into work in the District.
- Migration Impact Funding – Nationally recognised social cohesion pilot project to support delivery of services to the migrant and rural communities.
- Norfolk Public Sector Leaders' Board – an initiative to secure savings through reduction of duplication of services provided through the public sector across the region. A first topic of review would be fleet management, where it was felt significant savings could be achieved through a joint or single purchasing arrangement.
- Norfolk was reportedly one of the safest counties in the country. Through their work on anti social behaviour (ASB), with the Police, the Council was looking to establish a single ASB team for the area.

All authorities were challenged to continue to make savings through service efficiencies and the shared service proposals with South Norfolk District Council offered significant savings to both authorities. With

Action By

forecast cuts in the Rate Support Grant (RSG), the leaders of both authorities felt this approach was the right thing to do now to reduce management costs and keep a downward trend on costs.

Public sector reform was essential if the demand for services was to be met. The shared service agenda gave an opportunity to deliver a radical reform.

The Total Place agenda meant that authorities needed to look at service delivery from the public perception. As Leader of the Council, Mr. Nunn stated his aim was to ensure the Council's voice was heard at every level; to engage with regional organisations on achieving improvements.

In this connection, the LGA's national executive was being pressed to make sure the voice of rural districts was heard at the national level.

Members were then invited to raise any questions.

Referring to the Migrant Impact Funding project, a member asked how adult education needs were being addressed.

The Director for Community Services replied that the LSP hosted three posts dealing with provision of access to services for all people, which included adult education.

Mr. Nunn added that one of the aims was to increase the skills base of the customer service contact centres to enable staff to answer a wider range of questions on behalf of service providers for county and district.

The Leader of the Opposition welcomed Mr. Nunn's attendance and that he hoped Mr. Nunn valued the work of the Scrutiny Commission as a 'critical friend' to the aims of the Council. He expressed his support, in principle, for the shared services proposals and hoped that the issue of Local Government Review would be put on hold. So far as economic development initiatives were concerned, Mr. Goreham was pleased to note the Council's investments in these projects and said that the Council had historically always done its best to support economic development. He hoped a similar investment could be made in Dereham as for Thetford.

Mr. Goreham also said he hoped the savings proposed through the revision of Discretionary Rate Relief funding might be reinvested into the economic development budget. He felt this would be in harmony with what the Council was doing in this field.

A member asked how it was expected that the shared services project would operate in practice, with officers covering two administrative areas and two councils with a differing ethos. Would the result be a merging of the Councils, creating a 'super steering group'?

Mr. Nunn replied that 80% of the work of both councils was primarily the same, with a few exceptions and some variation in the level of service being provided. Council staff provide the services and therefore could easily do so for two authorities, as evidenced by the successful provision of the revenues and benefits service provided by the ARP to three authorities. A similar arrangement was envisaged under the shared service proposal but on a larger scale.

Action By

Another member highlighted the importance of customer services and asked about the future plans for centres in the five towns.

Mr. Nunn said he felt that the intention to roll out the centres to the five towns was the right approach. Currently, the centres operated only to Breckland's services but the aim was to look at how this facility could be extended so that a more collective presence could be offered in the towns, for example to include the Police.

A member highlighted the benefits of the Council's rural bus outreach service and suggested there was scope to extend it to include other services, for example the Fire Service. A similar operation in Essex had been very successful.

This concluded Mr. Nunn's report and the Chairman thanked Mr. Nunn for attending.

8/10 EAST OF ENGLAND REGIONAL ASSEMBLY - CONSULTATION ON PROPOSED LEADERS' BOARD FOR THE EAST OF ENGLAND (AGENDA ITEM 11)

The Deputy Chief Executive introduced this item and explained that the East of England Regional Assembly was consulting on proposals to establish a Leaders' Board for the East of England. The deadline for submitting a response was 26 February 2010.

Mr. Nunn advised that this proposal was in response to the Government's aim to streamline regional assemblies.

The proposed model would ensure accountability through representation by elected members. The Leaders' Board would be drawn from the 52 local authorities in the region, plus the Chairman of the Broads Authority. From that Board, 16 members would be appointed to sit on the Regional Strategy Board.

It was felt that the proposed model would be acceptable to the Government.

A member drew attention to the need to ensure a balanced representation among the 16 appointed representatives to the Regional Strategy Board to overcome the current undue influence of the urban areas over rural areas (the "north-south divide").

The point was acknowledged by Mr. Nunn, who confirmed that the need for representation by location had been recognised and was being addressed.

There was some discussion of the effectiveness of the regional assembly, which had been doubted in the past. It was to be hoped the new arrangement would be better.

Mr. Nunn said the value of regional representation could be seen through areas such as the achievement of securing significant European funding, enabling further investment to be drawn down into the region. Being represented gave the opportunity to influence decisions and ensure your voice was heard. A case in point was the

dualling of the A11 trunk road. A regional grouping was needed to bring that forward. If all funding went directly to local councils, the regional influence on such strategically important issues would be lost.

The Chairman asked what was needed to ensure proper scrutiny of the new regional structure.

Mr. Nunn responded that one option might be to form a scrutiny body made up of the Chairmen of each authority's scrutiny committees. Alternatively, it could be argued that the larger Leaders' Board would naturally scrutinise the work of the 16 representatives on the Regional Strategy Board. Another option might be to use the model of the county health scrutiny for the purpose.

The Chairman suggested it would be useful to include a point on that in the response to the consultation.

Mr. Nunn confirmed that there had been some initial discussion on the point but not in any depth as to how scrutiny might be provided in practice.

RESOLVED that subject to the points raised above being appropriately included in the Council's response to the consultation, the proposals for the setting up of a Leaders' Board for the East of England be supported.

Action By

Mark
Stokes

**9/10 SECTION 106 LEGAL AGREEMENTS - POSITION STATEMENT
(AGENDA ITEM 8)**

The Development Services Manager presented the report which provided members with information on the current position regarding Section 106 Legal Agreements, also known as planning obligations.

The report explained how planning obligations were considered and monitored.

In answer to a question it was noted that the installation of footpath lighting for any scheme required the land to have been adopted in the first instance. In some cases, for example, this might involve the District Council having first to adopt adjoining open space before the County Council could adopt a footpath running beside or crossing it, before the lighting could be installed. This could be a more complicated process if more than one developer or landowner was involved.

A member made the point that developers could be faced with multiple costs for meeting obligations such as easements, affordable housing, remedying contaminated land, in addition to S106 Agreements, that could result in some sites becoming unviable for development, especially for small developers.

The case of a specific site at Thetford was raised by Mr. Kybird and it was asked whether the Open Space obligation on the site would be delivered. The Deputy Chief Executive replied that a meeting was taking place that day and he would let Mr. Kybird know the outcome. Mr. Kybird felt there were lessons to be learned from this case as to how S106 Agreements were applied.

Mark
Stokes

Action By

A further question from a member asked how S106 receipts were allocated to the villages and how villages could apply for money to be spent on provision of, say, open space in their area.

It was explained that current policy was to group parishes and take advice from the parish or town council as to what was required.

So far as affordable housing receipts were concerned, advice was taken from the Housing Team in consultation with the Registered Social Landlords as to the release of funding for schemes.

A member referred to a case at Watton where a developer had gone out of business half-way through a project and asked what happened in such cases.

(At this point, Mr. J.D. Rogers declared a personal interest as a County Councillor involved in authorising the S106 in this case.)

The Development Services Manager explained that if the S106 contribution had already been made, there was no problem. If not, the successor in title would become responsible for the same obligations. In some circumstances where the trigger points for the contributions had been passed, legal advice would be taken on how to proceed. In the case at Watton, the District and County Councils had to take a view on how to proceed. However, members noted that there had been no loss of monies to the Council from such cases over the years.

A member added that not all monies related to S106 Agreements but to education provisions under Section 278 Agreements.

In concluding the item, the Chairman welcomed that there were mechanisms in place on how planning obligations monies were received, spent and monitored and that the Commission would be glad to have periodic reports in future on spends, in particular to show how total spends in Table 2 (affordable housing) were being applied through the Housing team.

Phil Daines

10/10 CONCESSIONARY FARES SCHEME - UPDATE (AGENDA ITEM 9)

The Head of Finance presented the report, which updated members on the current position regarding the operation of the concessionary fares scheme.

Details of the current operating position was noted, together with the fact that administration of the scheme was being passed to higher tier authorities, which meant that Norfolk County Council would take over the administration of the Norfolk scheme from the Districts in April 2011. The impact of this on the Council's revenue support grant was unknown at this stage.

Members acknowledged the value of the scheme in supporting rural transport needs and felt the money was well spent.

The position was noted.

11/10 TASK AND FINISH GROUPS (AGENDA ITEM 10)

(a) Community Fora Task & Finish Group

The Chairman welcomed this very comprehensive report and felt the recommendations were very valuable.

It was clarified that recommendation vi) referred to the fact that Task Forces would comprise elected members and, as such, would be member-led.

A correction to recommendation ii) was made to replace the words "relevant town council" with the words "Task Force or District Council".

It was explained that the Task Force model would be adapted as necessary to fit the need of the area it was to serve.

RECOMMEND TO THE CABINET that

- (1) the existing Task Force model be rolled out to the remaining three market towns in the course of 2010;
- (2) it be at the discretion of the Task Force or District Council as to whether to include representatives of parish councils in their respective hinterlands on their Community Forum;
- (3) Breckland-led Community Fora primarily will go hand-in-hand with the Task Force; however, if this is not possible, then a town council-led community forum will be instigated instead;
- (4) Breckland Council looks to liaise actively with Norfolk Constabulary to streamline the Groups and Fora utilised for community engagement in order to clarify roles and avoid duplication of effort and resources;
- (5) invite a wider range of public partner agencies to Breckland's Town and Parish Council Forum event, e.g. Police, PCT, Fire Service, etc.
- (6) ensure that the Task Force seeks to be member-led;
- (7) add a representative from the relevant area partnership to the list of partner agencies sitting on the Task Force; and
- (8) existing arrangements relating to voting and budgets should be retained as part of the model.

(b) Discretionary Rate Relief Task & Finish Group

The Chairman of the Task and Finish Group presented the report and explained that no radical changes were proposed but the revisions were aimed at channelling resources towards small, locally-based businesses and away from the more nationally based organisations which had recourse to other funding.

This did not affect the provisions for mandatory rate relief.

Action By

Robert
Walker

Action By

Referring to the practice of offering only 50% rate relief to clubs with bars, a member stated that some small sports clubs could be disadvantaged as they made no profit from such facilities. In reply, the Chairman of the Task and Finish Group said that if such clubs obtained relevant amateur status, they would qualify for 80% mandatory relief.

It was also explained that guidelines were available for applicants and officers readily gave individual advice and assistance, including signposting applicants to other sources of funding where appropriate.

The Commission supported the recommendations and accordingly

RECOMMENDS TO THE CABINET to endorse that the following changes are incorporated into the guidelines for determining the granting of discretionary rate relief:

- (1) to give advice to appropriate organisations to seek Community Amateur Sports Club or charitable status;
- (2) the rate relief cap be set at £2,000;
- (3) to reaffirm the current practice of offering only 50% rate relief to clubs with bars;
- (4) to reaffirm that any national charity would receive the 80% mandatory relief only;
- (5) the recommendation of the local Member should be sought on 'exceptional cases' be reviewed by the Executive Member;
- (6) to reaffirm the importance of formal consultation with the local Member in all cases;
- (7) to review discretionary rate relief cases annually and the principles of the policy every three years; and

FURTHER RECOMMEND TO CABINET to respectfully suggest that Cabinet considers reinvesting any money saved from the proposed changes into economic regeneration.

Mark Finch

12/10 INVESTORS IN PEOPLE ACCREDITATION (AGENDA ITEM 12)

The Human Resources Manager presented the report, which outlined the Council's progress towards accreditation to the Investors in People standard.

Issues raised at the various Member and Officer consultation meetings had been addressed and good progress had been made towards resolving them.

Staff Champions had been appointed and there was a clear focus on communications and training.

Members were encouraged by the rate of progress so far achieved but there were some reservations that some of the responses to the diagnostic survey showed areas still in need of improvement.

The point was acknowledged but the Human Resources Manager explained that this was a natural progression and the point of the process was to continue to make improvements. Advice had been taken from the IIP Manager who was supportive of the good progress made and was satisfied that the Council was in a positive position to achieve accreditation.

In noting that the report gave combined results of Members and Officers, it was asked if they had been assessed separately and if so, whether this might alter the combined results.

The Human Resources Manager agreed to check the information and reply on those points. However, there was a clearly defined response rate of 68% from staff. A project meeting with the IIP Manager was scheduled to be held on 17 February and the results of that meeting would be reported back to the Commission.

The Commission supported the recommendation in the report and accordingly

RECOMMEND TO THE CABINET to agree that Breckland Council is ready to be put forward for Investors in People accreditation in March 2010.

Action By

Lucy
Powles

Lucy
Powles

13/10 GREEN AGENDA DEVELOPMENT PANEL (AGENDA ITEM 13)

The Chairman of the Panel presented the report and highlighted the fact that 70% of the Council's carbon footprint related to the two sports centres. He felt it might be necessary to look at whether any assistance could be offered to reduce that figure. He noted that there had been no information given about control or tariff systems used.

The Chairman of the Panel also drew attention to the fact that a consultation on the East of England rail franchise mentioned the Brecks and Thetford but gave no indication that there was a policy to make improvements in this area. He felt this was something that the Commission might feel was worthy of review.

The Chairman of the Commission noted the point and advised that the Norfolk Rail Network Group, of which he was a member, was due to meet shortly.

The report was noted.

14/10 SCRUTINY CALL-INS (STANDING ITEM)

No items had been referred.

15/10 COMMUNITY CALL FOR ACTION (STANDING ITEM)

No items had been referred.

16/10 WORK PROGRAMME

No changes were made.

Members were asked to note arrangements for a Work Programme workshop to be held at 1.00pm on 11 March 2010 at the Gallery Bar, Watton Sports Centre, to consider the annual programme for 2010-11.

17/10 NEXT MEETING

The arrangements for the next meeting on 18 March 2010 were noted.

Action By

The meeting closed at 4.50 pm

CHAIRMAN

Breckland Youth Council

Breckland Council Overview and
Scrutiny Committee
4th February 2010

Stuart Green & Sean Ward

1

Background

Breckland Youth Council was started in February 2009 shortly after a variety of youth events organised by Breckland Council.

15 young people (11-18) were elected democratically onto the youth council.

With over 20% of young people in the district voting.

2

Background

There are 3 Youth Councillors for each of the 5 market towns in the district, Dereham, Watton, Attleborough, Swaffham and Thetford.

We meet on a monthly basis to discuss issues relating to our constituents.

3

Background

Every Youth Councillor has been given chance to do ASDAN Award in community involvement and volunteering.

BYC acts as way to achieve this award.

4

Issues

Engaging young people in local government is hard.

The high level of participation in voting shows the success of the project in engaging with young people.

5

Issues

Geographic:

The 15 Youth Councillors represent a vast area of the community and must represent different geographical issues relating to young people.

6

Issues

Communicating and working together:

Prior to the launch of Breckland Youth Council, we had never met each other before. Numerous activities and team building skills have been introduced for us to meet each other, to form a groups 'identity' and to help increase our confidence, trust and ability to feel comfortable in each others presence.

7

Issues

Experience:

Each youth councillor has had a different level of experience in a decision making role. Some of the youth councillors have had a key role in supporting less experienced members gain confidence in their role, therefore it has been imperative to guide the individuals to encourage them to make decision which affect them as young people.

8

Issues

Competing Priorities:

We are affected by a number of factors including family, friends and education. Each individual's experience will be different from another and no matter how different, all experiences will have an affect on our role as a youth councillor.

9

Issues

Staff Change:

There has been a change in the post of Youth Engagement Officer over the last few months. Causing confusion for us at times.

10

Recent Success

The last 10 months have seen lots of successes for the Youth Councillors. We have all been actively engaged in a variety of different projects and events:

11

Recent Success

- Pride in Breckland Awards
- Toftwood Youth Street Brief
- Town and Parish Council Forum
- Youth Engagement: A Rural Approach Conference
- Full Council meeting
- Watton Carnival
- Housing Interviews
- Royal Norfolk Show
- Dereham Youth Event
- Thetford Youth Fest 2010 - meeting
- Participatory Budgeting Training
- CAG Meeting
- Teambuilding at Eaton Vale
- Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital survey
- Pride in Breckland campaign
- Visit from George Freeman - Prospective Parliamentary Candidate for Mid Norfolk (conservative)
- Designing a logo for the Breckland Youth Council
- Workshop with Active Citizenship Youth Worker from NCC

12

Recent Success

In the period of Feb 09 – Nov 09 we had 7 well attended meetings at a various locations throughout the district.
We have all started gathering our evidence now for the ASDAN award.

2 Youth Councillors expressed an interest in UK Youth Parliament. With 1 standing as a candidate for Mid Norfolk in the elections this week.

13

Forward Plan

See report appendix

So that the Youth Council continues to build upon the successes and develop further steps have been taken to reorganise the Youth Council into sub groups.
We can work more effectively and achieve more this way.

Identify key projects and work on them over the next 6 months with support from officers and councillors.

14

Sub Groups

- Green Agenda/Pride
- Participatory Budgeting
- Anti Social Behaviour

Each one has 5 Youth Councillors, one from each market town. They are supported by 2 officers and one councillor.

They have each decided upon the following projects

15

Green Agenda/Pride

Richard Wills, Keith Fuller and Cllr. Myers

- After school skills workshop – basic mechanics
 - Energy Saving Monitors
 - Job Centre signs in schools
- Disused shop front improvements

16

Participatory Budgeting

Caroline Cox, Mark Fretwell and Cllr. T Hewett

- Promoting the PB Funding to peers, schools and groups
 - Writing a press release for the funding
- Attend training to learn how to assess applications
 - Shortlist applications
 - Hold a presentation evening
 - Allocate the £10,000 funding

17

Anti Social Behaviour

Cat Lang, Sarah Smith and Cllr. Turner

- Plan and organise an Under 18's event for February 2010
 - Work in partnership with Kiss FM and other statutory and voluntary agencies
 - Promote and run event
 - Evaluate the event

18

Forward Plan

Each will meet once a month and set actions to be achieved.

Includes increased communication, press coverage and awareness of BYC across Breckland.

We also had a successful visit from a senior NCC officer for transport and the managing director of Konect buses at our January meeting.

This was after transport was raised as an issue by a fellow Youth Councillor.

19

Thank You for Listening

Any Questions?

20